UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,905	12/21/2005	Ettore Lonati	41381/AJ/lp	1386
Modiano & Ass	7590 03/26/200 sociati	EXAMINER		
Via Meravigli 16			WORRELL JR, LARRY D	
Milano, 20123 ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/561,905	LONATI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danny Worrell	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>12-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/21/05</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/561,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luchi (US 3650126).

As seen for example in figures 2, 5, 6, 9, and 13 Luchi (US 3650126) teaches the invention as claimed including method for manufacturing knitted articles for forming items of clothing without lateral seams, with a circular knitting machine having needle cylinder and needles (column 1, lines 5-9) comprising a step for forming at least one pouch-shaped region in which part of the needles or all the needles that belong to at least one sector (β) of the needle cylinder are moved to knit at least one feed (f) of the machine by actuating the needle cylinder of the machine with an alternating rotary motion about an axis thereof (column 2, lines 13-24 and column , 3 lines 1-3 and column 4, lines 21-24) and with an extent of oscillation that is sufficient to make transiting, at said at least one feed, all the needles of said at least one sector that are moved for knitting at said at least one feed, in order to form, with the needles that belong to said at least one sector and are moved for knitting, a number of rows of knitting in excess with respect to a number of rows of knitting formed by the needles that are contiguous to said at least one sector.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,905 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 16, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luchi (US 3650126)

Luchi (US 3650126) teaches the invention substantially as claimed as indicated above in the rejection to claim 12. However, Luchi (US 3650126) does not teach the gradually increasing and then decreasing, a double folded border or a second pouch portion. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to provide a gradual increasing and decreasing of the sector in order to provide a specific shaping of the knitting pouch portion. Concerning the inclusion of a double folded border portion, the examiner takes official notice of the inclusion of double folded welt portion in circular knitted garments as borders. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to provide a double folded border or welt portion in order to maintain garment in the proper location on the wearer. Also it would have been obvious at the

Application/Control Number: 10/561,905 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

time the invention was made to provide a second pouch portion on the knitted garment in order

to maintain the garment for a specific end use.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 571/272-4997. The

examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, GARY WELCH can be reached on 571/272-4996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Danny Worrell/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765

Application/Control Number: 10/561,905 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

LDW